| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | 09/976,780 | SYVANNE, TUOMO |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mohammad Ali | 2167 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: Allo | wed |
| (1) <u>Mohammad Ali</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Attorney Ronal Craig Fish (Reg. No. 28,843). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 28 September 2005 | Time: <u>3:00 pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-6, 14-16, and 18-19 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| unda 1. | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Sig | nature – if appropriate) |



Application No. 09/976,780

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to applicant's representaive Attorney, Ronal Craig Fish (Reg. No. 28,843) about the potential amendment to the claims in order to overcome the prior art of records and representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has been given for an Examiner's amendment.